A non-singular black hole mass threshold from gravitational collapse in Eddington-inspired Born-Infeld theory

Yaser Tavakoli,[1,*] Celia Escamilla-Rivera,[1,2,†] and Julio C. Fabris[1,3,‡]

[1] *Departamento de Física, Universidade Federal do Espírito Santo, Av. Fernando Ferrari 514, Vitória - ES, Brazil*

[2] *Mesoamerican Centre for Theoretical Physics (ICTP regional headquarters in Central America, the Caribbean and Mexico), Universidad Autónoma de Chiapas, Carretera Zapata Km. 4, Real del Bosque (Terán), 29040, Tuxtla Gutiérrez, Chiapas, México.*

[3] *National Research Nuclear University "MEPhI", Kashirskoe sh. 31, Moscow 115409, Russia*

(Dated: December 17, 2015)

In this letter we address the implications when an Oppenheimer-Snyder dust model is considered as a scenario for gravitational collapse in the context of Eddington-inspired Born-Infeld (EiBI) theory. In order to describe the dynamical evolution of the collapse, we present an effective field equation, which constitutes the first order corrections to Einstein's gravity. By imposing a convenient junction condition at the boundary of collapsing dust, we obtain a threshold mass for formation of a non-singular black hole in the exterior region, which gives rise to a cut-off over the EiBI coupling parameter as $|\kappa| = 1.8 \times 10^{-96}\ kg^{-1} \cdot m^3$. We further find that the exterior solution corresponds to a regular, non-Schwarzschild geometry, which indicates that, the vacuum solution in the herein (effective) EiBI theory is not equivalent to that in general relativity. Finally, we study the geodesic behaviours on the space-time of this black hole which provides some interesting new features.

PACS numbers: 04.50.+h, 04.20.Dw, 04.70.Bw

arXiv:1512.05162v1 [gr-qc] 16 Dec 2015

Introduction. An Eddington inspired Born-Infeld (EiBI) gravity was proposed as an extension of Eddington's theory including matter [1]. In this metric-affine proposal, the field equations are derived from a Lagrangian density where the variation is taken with respect to the both quantities, the metric g_{ab} and an auxiliary metric q_{ab} compatible with connection Γ^c_{ab}, which are considered independent. This effective modification allows to remove the appearance of cosmological singularities and is expected to be in agreement with General Relativity (GR) at energies below the Planck scale. Nonetheless, the value of the EiBI coupling parameter κ sets a minimum length (or maximum density) that points out to alternative scenarios where the singularities in gravitational collapse may be prevented. Recently, the astrophysical and cosmological issues of the EiBI gravity have been extensively investigated. It has been shown that, the avoidance of the big bang singularity is not only limited to a radiation-dominated Universe [2]. In Ref. [3] it was shown that the EiBI theory shares some pathologies with the $F(R)$ theory in the Palatini formalism [4], e.g., curvature singularities at the surface of polytropic stars, and unacceptable Newtonian limit. In despite of that, it remains a very interesting theory to be applied in the high energy regime. In the same context, it was found that, the singularity is indeed present in the future Universe as a Big Rip where a phantom component is considered [5].

Since the EiBI gravity is known to resolve the space-time singularities, studying the final state of the gravitational collapse in this theory, and the question how it may deviate from GR, is of interest (e.g., see [6]). The Oppenheimer-Snyder model [7] is a simple scenario for a spherically symmetric, gravitational collapse of a homogeneous dust matter, which provides a convenient analytical framework in order to study some properties of a gravitational system in different theories of gravity. A particular result of this model is that, the final state of the dust collapse will be a Schwarzschild black hole formation, which is indeed, a vacuum solution in GR. In this paper, we are interested to study the Oppenheimer-Snyder model in the framework of an effective scenario of EiBI theory of gravity. In particular, it is known that in vacuum, EiBI theory is equivalent to GR, so that, the Schwarzschild metric is a solution to the EiBI action (see Eq. (1) bellow) with no sources. However, in our herein model, it will be shown that the matching with the interior solution implies that the exterior solution is not the Schwarzschild one. This constitutes the main result of this letter.

Eddington-inspired Born-Infeld theory. EiBI theory is based on a Palatini formulation described by [1]

$$S = \frac{2}{\kappa}\int d^4x\Big(\sqrt{-|g_{ab}+\kappa\mathcal{R}_{ab}|} - \lambda\sqrt{-g}\Big) + S_{\rm matt}, \quad (1)$$

where $\mathcal{R}_{ab}(\Gamma)$ represents the symmetric part of the Ricci tensor built from the connection Γ^c_{ab}, and λ is related to the cosmological constant Λ through $\Lambda = (\lambda-1)/\kappa$; for asymptotically flat solutions we have that $\lambda = 1$. (In this letter we will work in Planck units $8\pi G = 1$.) The matter action $S_{\rm matt}[g,\Gamma]$ is added in the usual way. We observe also that, in this formulation a coupling parameter κ is introduced, which is a constant and has the dimension of inverse Λ. When $\kappa\mathcal{R}_{ab} \ll 1$, the action (1) reduces to the Einstein-Hilbert action with Λ. However, when $\kappa\mathcal{R}_{ab} \gg 1$, the Eddington action is recovered [8]. Hence,

the parameter κ interpolates between these two different theories.

The equations of motion are given by the following complete set [1]:

$$q_{ab} = g_{ab} + \kappa \mathcal{R}_{ab} \ , \tag{2}$$

$$\sqrt{q} q^{ab} = \lambda \sqrt{g} g^{ab} - \kappa \sqrt{g} T^{ab} \ . \tag{3}$$

Here, q^{ab} is the inverse of q_{ab}. Since (1) reproduces Einstein gravity within the vacuum, with Λ, for a spherically symmetric configuration of this case, a Schwarzschild-de Sitter metric is, thus, a solution to the EiBI equation of motion with no sources [1]. The corresponding scalar curvature in this case, is $\mathcal{R} = 4\Lambda = 4(\lambda - 1)/\kappa$. In the presence of matter in EiBI theory, two other aspects have been studied in the regions with high densities [1]: a black hole space-time and the very early Universe, leading to the result that the singularity is avoided in both cases.

For the flat Friedmann-Lemaitre-Robertson-Walker (FLRW) Universe, in the absence of cosmological constant ($\Lambda = 0$ or $\lambda = 1$), the Friedmann equation is given by [1]

$$H^2 = G/6F^2 \ , \tag{4}$$

where $H = \dot{a}(t)/a(t)$ is the Hubble rate (a 'dot' denotes a derivative with respect to t). Moreover, $F(\rho)$ and $G(\rho)$ are defined as

$$F(\rho) := 1 - \frac{3\kappa(\rho + p)(1 - w - \kappa\rho - \kappa p)}{4(1 + \kappa\rho)(1 - \kappa p)} \ , \tag{5}$$

$$G(\rho) := \frac{1}{\kappa}\left[1 + 2\sqrt{\frac{(1 - \kappa p)^3}{1 + \kappa\rho}} - 3\frac{1 - \kappa p}{1 + \kappa\rho}\right] . \tag{6}$$

In the relations above, the standard matter, with the equation of state $p = w\rho$, satisfies the conservation equation $\dot{\rho} = -3H(\rho + p)$. Consequently, we can find the time derivative of the Hubble rate, $\dot{H}$, by using Eq. (4) as

$$2\dot{H} = H\left(\dot{G}/G - 2\dot{F}/F\right) . \tag{7}$$

The modified Oppenheimer-Snyder model. In what follows, we will study the Oppenheimer-Snyder collapse of a homogeneous dust cloud, whose *interior* space-time geometry is given by a flat FLRW metric:

$$ds^2_{(\text{int})} = -dt^2 + a^2(t)(dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega^2) \ , \tag{8}$$

where $d\Omega^2 = d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2$. We analyse the dynamical evolution of the collapse by employing an effective scenario of EiBI theory, we introduced above. Then, we will study the possible exterior geometry of the collapse by a matching to the interior at the boundary of the cloud.

For a dust matter ($w = 0$, $p = 0$), in the interior region (8), we can expand Eq. (4) in terms of $\kappa\rho$, to find the second order corrections to the Friedmann equation in GR, as

$$3\frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2} = \rho\Big(1 + \frac{3}{8}\kappa\rho\Big) + \mathcal{O}\big[(\kappa\rho)^3\big] =: \rho_{\text{eff}} \ . \tag{9}$$

Here $\dot{a} < 0$ indicating a collapse process. Eq. (9), represents two different scenarios for the final state of gravitational collapse, depending on the sign of κ: if $\kappa < 0$, the energy density ρ of the collapsing cloud starts increasing from the initial density $\rho_0 = \rho(0)$, until it reaches a maximum $\rho_{\max} = 8/3|\kappa|$ at which the Hubble rate (9) vanishes. Therefore, the general relativistic singularity is resolved, in this case, and is replaced by a bounce. Notice that, the effective density is always positive, $\rho_{\text{eff}} \geq 0$, and the matter density changes in the interval $\rho_0 \leq \rho \leq \rho_{\max}$. If κ is positive, there will be no restriction on the energy density ρ of dust, so that, it starts to increase from the initial value ρ_0 until it diverges as the scale factor of the collapse vanishes. Therefore, similar to GR, the collapse ends up with a singularity at the center of the star.

The left plot in Fig. 1 shows the evolution of scale factor $a(t)$ of the collapsing cloud in the interior region. It is clear that, the star starts collapsing from an initial scale factor $a_0 = a(0)$ and bounces at $a_{\text{B}} = (\rho_0/\rho_{\max})^{\frac{1}{3}} a_0$. In the right plot, the 'gray curve' shows evolution of the energy density of the dust, from its initial condition ρ_0, until it reaches its maximum $\rho = \rho_{\max}$, at the bounce. The evolution of the effective energy density ρ_{eff} is also shown by the 'blue curve'. In addition, dashed curves represent the general relativistic collapse of dust matter which leads to the formation of a singularity at the center of the star.

Consequently, by expanding Eq. (7) until second order in $\kappa\rho$, we find the time derivative of the Hubble rate as

$$2\dot{H} = -\rho\Big(1 + \frac{3}{4}\kappa\rho\Big) + \mathcal{O}\big[(\kappa\rho)^3\big] \ . \tag{10}$$

Then, from Eqs. (9) and (10) we can define the modified Raychadhuri equation in the herein EiBI theory as

$$-6\big(\dot{H} + H^2\big) =: \rho_{\text{eff}} + 3p_{\text{eff}} \ , \tag{11}$$

so that, we find an effective pressure for the system as

$$p_{\text{eff}} = 3\kappa\rho^2/8 \ . \tag{12}$$

Notice that, in the GR limit, $\kappa\rho \ll 1$, we recover the pressureless dust with $p_{\text{eff}} = p = 0$, and $\dot{H} + H^2 = -\rho/6$ (where $\rho_{\text{eff}} = \rho$). In the bouncing scenario, since $\kappa < 0$, we get $p_{\text{eff}} = -(3/8)|\kappa|\rho^2 = -\rho^2/\rho_{\max} < 0$. At the maximum energy ($\rho_{\max} = 8/3|\kappa|$ at the bounce), pressure reaches its minimum with negative sign as $p_{\min} = -8/3|\kappa| = -\rho_{\max}$, which provides a strong repulsive force



FIG. 1: *Left:* evolution of scale factor $a(t)$. *Right:* evolution of energy density ρ of dust (gray curve) and the effective density $\rho_{\rm eff}$ of the collapse (blue curve). The solid lines represent the case in EiBI theory, while the dashed lines represent the GR limit.

near the bounce. Henceforth, we will consider only the bouncing scenario with $\kappa < 0$.

For the effective energy density and pressure, we always have $\rho_{\rm eff} \geq 0$ and $\rho_{\rm eff} - p_{\rm eff} = \rho \geq 0$. Therefore, the *weak* and *dominant* energy conditions are always satisfied. However, in the region in which $\rho_{\rm max}/2 < \rho < \rho_{\rm max}$, *null* energy condition, $\rho_{\rm eff} + p_{\rm eff} \geq 0$, is violated. Moreover, *strong* energy condition, $\rho_{\rm eff} + 3p_{\rm eff} \geq 0$, is violated in the range $\rho_{\rm max}/4 < \rho < \rho_{\rm max}$.

Let us consider that $M = (4\pi/3)\rho R^3$, where $\rho = \rho_0(a/a_0)^3$ and $R(t) = r_b a(t)$ (where r_b is the radius of the boundary shell Σ). Then, we can rewrite Eq. (9) as

$$\dot{R}^2 \;=\; 2GM/R + 9\kappa G M^2/16\pi R^4 \;, \qquad (13)$$

By setting $\dot{R}^2 = 0$ in Eq. (13), we can find the location of the bounce, $R_{\rm B}$, as

$$R_{\rm B} \;=\; \Big(9|\kappa|M/32\pi\Big)^{\frac{1}{3}} \;=\; r_b a_{\rm B} \;. \qquad (14)$$

This indicates that, the physical range for evolution of the area radius is $R_{\rm B} < R < R_0$ (with $R_0 = r_b a_0$). In order to complete the full space-time geometry, we need to match the homogeneous interior space-time to a suitable exterior geometry. For the modified general relativistic gravitational collapse with a standard dust matter, the effective pressure does not vanish at the boundary Σ. We follow a general scheme and match the interior with a generalized *exterior* Vaidya space-time across the boundary Σ given by $r = r_b$. A Vaidya geometry in advanced null coordinates (v, r_v) can be written as [9]

$$ds^2_{\rm (ext)} \;=\; -f(v,r_v)dv^2 - 2dvdr_v + r_v^2 d\Omega^2 \;, \qquad (15)$$

with a redshift function f defined by

$$f(v,r_v) \;=\; 1 - 2Gm(v,r_v)/r_v \;\cdot \qquad (16)$$

Here, $m(v, r_v)$ is the Vaidya mass. We assume that the standard Israel matching conditions hold in EiBI theory, which require that the metric and the extrinsic curvature of Σ be continuous. This implies that, the interior metric (8), the exterior metric (15), and $\dot{R}$ are continuous on Σ, so that, we obtain $R = r_b a = r_v$ and $\dot{R}^2 = 2Gm(v, r_v)/r_v$ [10]. Thus, we find the Vaidya mass as

$$m(R) \;=\; M - 9|\kappa|M^2/32\pi R^3 \;\cdot \qquad (17)$$

By changing the null coordinate as $d\tau = dv + dR/f(R)$, it is convenient to rewrite the exterior space-time (15) in a *static* form:

$$ds^2_{\rm (ext)} \;=\; -f(R)d\tau^2 + f^{-1}(R)dR^2 + R^2 d\Omega^2 \;. \qquad (18)$$

The exterior function (16), after applying the above matching conditions on Σ, becomes

$$f(R) \;=\; 1 - \frac{2GM}{R} + \frac{9|\kappa|GM^2}{16\pi R^4} \;\cdot \qquad (19)$$

Now, we investigate whether this exterior is physically relevant, by imposing the EiBI field equations in the absence of sources. We have seen that, in vacuum Eq. (1) is fully equivalent to the Einstein-Hilbert action, whose equations of motion possess a static Schwarzschild solution, with $f(R) = 1 - 2GM/R$, and the scalar curvature $\mathcal{R} = 0$, in the exterior region of the collapse. However, the exterior solution (18), with f given by Eq. (19), whose scalar curvature reads

$$\mathcal{R} \;=\; 9\kappa G M^2/\pi R^6 \;, \qquad (20)$$

is different from that of the Schwarzschild geometry, thus, a static solution is possible only if $\kappa M \approx 0$. This leads to a no-go result: *the vacuum solution (18) in the herein effective EiBI theory is not equivalent to that in GR*. In addition, since R is restricted to the range $R_{\rm B} < R < R_0$, the geometry of (20) is always regular.

By setting $f(R_h) = 0$, we can find the location of horizon, R_h, in the case of a black hole forming at the final state of the collapse. This gives

$$R_h^4 - \big(2GM\big)R_h^3 + \big(9|\kappa|M^2 G/16\pi\big) = 0 \;. \qquad (21)$$

Let us look for the extremum points of $f(R)$ by solving $f'(R_m) = df/dR|_{R_m} = 0$. We obtain

$$R_m \;=\; \Big(9|\kappa|M/8\pi\Big)^{\frac{1}{3}} \;. \qquad (22)$$

By computing $f''(R_m) = d^2f/dR^2|_{R_m}$, it follows that $f''(R_m) > 0$, thus, R_m is a minimum point. By comparing (22) with Eq. (14) we find that $R_m = 4^{1/3}R_{\rm B} > R_{\rm B}$.

If the value of $f(R)$ at minimum point R_m vanishes, the exterior function f has only one root. And moreover, R_m itself is the root of $f(R_m) = 0$. In other words, R_m is the location of the horizon in this case; $R_h^0 = R_m$. Now, by substituting R_m from (22) in $f(R_m) = 0$ and solving for M, we find a relation for the mass of the star as

$$M_\star \;=\; \Big(|\kappa|/3\pi G^3\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \;. \qquad (23)$$

This analysis indicates that, if the mass of the star is equal to $M_\star$, the minimum of f vanishes at some point $R_m = R_h^0$. Therefore, the final state of the exterior geometry will be a black hole with a double horizon (an extremal black hole). The evolution of f in this case is shown by the 'gray curve' in Fig. 2. If $f(R_m) < 0$, the exterior function f crosses the horizontal axe, so, it will have two roots. Similar to the previous analysis, it can be shown that, the mass of the star is bigger than $M_\star$ in this case. Therefore, the corresponding space-time possess two horizons: an interior horizon, denoted by R_h^-, and an exterior one, denoted by R_h^+ (see 'blue curve' in Fig. 2). Finally, for the case $M < M_\star$, the exterior function f is always positive at its minimum point, $f(R_m) > 0$, therefore, no horizon would form during the collapse (see 'red curve' in Fig. 2).



FIG. 2: Solid curves represent the evolution of the redshift function (19), in EiBI theory, for different values of mass M of the star. The corresponding dotted curves represent the GR limits.

homogeneous dust, due to the effective EiBI theory, is $M_\star$, given by (23). Now, by assuming that the smallest possible black hole (with $M = M_\star$ herein our model) in the Universe might have a mass equal to the Planck mass, $m_{\rm Pl} = \sqrt{\hbar c/G}$ (bearing in mind that, we have worked in Planck units, $8\pi G = c = 1$), we can find a minimum value for the EiBI coupling parameter as

$$|\kappa| = (3hG^2/2c^5) = 1.8 \times 10^{-96}\ kg^{-1}\cdot m^3 \;. \qquad (24)$$

In this limit, the maximum energy density of the collapsing dust at the bounce is equal to the Planck density, $\rho_{\rm max} = \rho_{\rm Pl}$.

Geodesic behaviours. In order to study the behaviour of the null rays in the exterior region of the herein collapsing system, we consider the null geodesics equation for the exterior geometry (18) which can be written as $E^2 = (dR/d\gamma)^2 + 2V(R)$. Here, E is the energy of massless particles, γ is an affine parameter, and $V(R)$ is the effective potential given by

$$V(R) \;=\; \big(L^2/2R^2\big)\Big(1 - 2Gm(R)/R\Big) \;. \qquad (25)$$

Moreover, $L = R^2(d\varphi/d\gamma)$ is the angular momentum of massless particles (we may interpret $\hbar L$ as the angular momentum of a photon moving on the exterior geometry) [11]. The crucial new feature provided by EiBI correction term in (17) is that, we obtain an additional centrifugal barrier term, $9L^2|\kappa|GM^2/(32\pi R^6)$, in Eq. (25), which dominates over the standard Schwarzschild terms at small R. Fig. 3 shows the behaviour of the potential (25) in the herein EiBI modified regime, that, unlike the classical Schwarzschild geometry, depends on the values of M.



FIG. 3: Evolution of the potential (25) for different values of the mass of the star. Solid curves represent the potential in EiBI theory, while dotted curves correspond to GR limits.

Following the above discussion, the minimum mass for formation of a black hole in gravitational collapse of a

For a massless particle (or a photon) with energy E, moving along the potential (25), there is a 'turning point'

at $V(R) = E^2/2$, from which it will begin to move in the opposite direction. If the potential is flat, i.e., $dV/dR = 0$, the photon may simply move in a circular orbit at radius $R_c = const.$, which is given by the solution of

$$R_c^4 - \big(3GM\big)R_c^3 + \big(27|\kappa|GM^2/16\pi\big) = 0 \ . \qquad (26)$$

For the case $M < M_\star$, the equation above has no root, thus, there is no stable circular orbit (see 'red curve' in Fig. 3). A sufficiently energetic photon coming from infinity, slows down gradually (with decreasing $dR/d\gamma$) until it reaches the turning point $V(R) = E^2/2$, then, it starts moving back to infinity. For the cases $M \geq M_\star$, Eq. (26) has two roots which correspond to two extremal points for the potential: there exists a radius R_c^- at which the potential is minimum, i.e., $V(R_c^-) = V_{\rm min}$, and a radius R_c^+ at which the potential has a maximum, $V(R_c^+) = V_{\rm max}$. Therefore, circular orbits with the radius R_c^- are stable and those with the radius R_c^+ are unstable. For the case $M = M_\star$, the stable circular orbits are located at the horizon of the (extremal) black hole, that is, $R_c^- = R_h^0 = (9|\kappa|M_\star/8\pi)^{\frac{1}{3}}$. This means that, any photon (with an energy higher than the energy of the barrier; $E^2 > 2V_{\rm max}$) coming from infinity, would be trapped on the black hole horizon and starts a (stable) circular orbiting on the surface of the horizon. In the case $M > M_\star$, stable circular orbits occur at R_c^-, where $R_h^- < R_c^- < R_h^+$, thus, any sufficiently energetic photon coming from infinity will be trapped somewhere between the inner and outer horizons. In the GR limit, there is only one *unstable* circular orbit for any photon; a small perturbation will push back the photon to infinity, or, it will fall inside the black hole horizon until it reaches the singularity at $R = 0$.

Conclusions. An Oppenheimer-Snyder model for gravitational collapse was considered in the framework of Eddington-inspired Born-Infeld (EiBI) theory of gravity. We obtained a modified expression for the Friedmann equation governing the evolution equation of the collapse. It was shown that, the energy density of the collapsing matter has an upper bound, $\rho_{\rm max} = 8/3|\kappa|$, for negative values of the EiBI coupling parameter κ. When the energy density of the matter reaches this maximum value, the collapse hits a bounce before it approaches the center of the star, where a GR singularity is located. We investigated different possibilities for the exterior geometry as the final state of the gravitational collapse in the herein effective EiBI theory. Our analysis provided three scenarios for the horizon formation depending on the initial conditions of the collapse. In particular, we obtained a critical mass threshold, $M_\star = (|\kappa|/3\pi G^3)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, for the star, below which no horizon would form during the collapse. When the initial mass is equal and bigger than the critical mass, $M \geq M_\star$, one and two horizons would form, respectively. By assuming that the smallest possible black hole in the Universe, whose mass is given by $M_\star$ in our herein model, might have a mass equal to the Planck mass, we found a *minimum* value for the EiBI coupling parameter as $|\kappa| = 1.8 \times 10^{-96}\ kg^{-1} \cdot m^3$. This small value of κ is compatible with our approximations and make the theory indistinguishable of GR in the low energy limit. We further obtained a no-go theorem indicating that, the exterior (regular) black hole geometry does not correspond to a static Schwarzschild solution, thus, the vacuum solution in the herein effective EiBI scenario is different from that in GR. We concluded our work by analyzing the geodesic behaviour of any massless particle propagating on the geometry of the resulting black holes. We have shown that, in the case of the extremal black hole (with $M = M_\star$), a massless particle, coming in from infinity, will be trapped on the horizon of the black hole and starts a (stable) circular orbit around it. Moreover, for $M > M_\star$, any sufficiently energetic massless particle near the exterior horizon will fall inside the horizon and starts circulating at the minimum of the potential, somewhere between the inner and outer horizons.

Acknowledgements. YT thanks Brazilian agency FAPES/CAPES for financial support. CE-R is supported by a CNPq fellowship (Brazil). JCF thanks CNPq and FAPES for partial financial support. This work was also supported by the project CERN/FP/123609/2011.

* Electronic address: tavakoli@cosmo-ufes.org
† Electronic address: celia.escamilla@cosmo-ufes.org
‡ Electronic address: fabris@pq.cnpq.br

[1] M. Banados and P. G. Ferreira, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 011101 (2010) [Phys. Rev. Lett. **113**, no. 11, 119901 (2014)]; arXiv:1006.1769 [astro-ph.CO].
[2] J. H. C. Scargill, M. Banados and P. G. Ferreira, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 103533 (2012); arXiv:1210.1521 [astro-ph.CO].
[3] P. Pani and T. P. Sotiriou, Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 251102 (2012); arXiv:1209.2972 [gr-qc].
[4] T. P. Sotiriou and V. Faraoni, Rev. Mod. Phys. **82**, 451 (2010), arXiv:0805.1726 [gr-qc].
[5] M. Bouhmadi-Lopez, C. Y. Chen and P. Chen, Eur. Phys. J. C **74**, 2802 (2014); arXiv:1302.5013 [gr-qc].
[6] P. Pani, V. Cardoso and T. Delsate, Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 031101 (2011); arXiv:1106.3569 [gr-qc].
[7] J. R. Oppenheimer and H. Snyder, Phys. Rev. **56**, 455 (1939).
[8] A. S. Eddington, *The Mathematical Theory of Relativity*, (Cambridge University Press, 1924).
[9] P. C. Vaidya, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. A**33**, 264 (1951).
[10] P. S. Joshi, *Gravitational Collapse and Spacetime Singularities* (Cambridge University Press, 2007).
[11] R. M. Wald, *General Relativity*, (University of Chicago Press, 1984).